UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,210	06/28/2004	Tetsuo Yamashita	360842011300	2598
25227 7590 02/11/2008 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102			CHEN, WEN YING PATTY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/500,210	YAMASHITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	WENT THIS I day Offer	2071
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 17-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Andrew Schechter/	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2871

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the arguments of the present invention having unexpected results over the prior arts is not found persuasive since no actual evidence has been provided to support the unexpected results. see MPEP 716.02(b)

The arguments regarding to unexpected result of being able to obtain a transflective liquid crystal display device having thinner color filter layers has structural limitations that are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. see MPEP 716.02(d)

The claimed vidence of the claimed unexpected results has been weighed against evidence supporting prima facie case of obviousness and is believed to be insufficient to overcome the obviousness rejections. The claimed unexpected results coincide with the expected results of the prior arts as set forth in the previous office actions, therefore, the claimed unexpected results of the present invention are not shown to have a significance equal to or greater than the expected results of the prior arts. see MPEP 716.02(c)